
Article ID:

Dear Reviewer:

This instrument aims to record the analysis of items that are essential to the evaluation of the manuscript, complementing the review stage (Reviewer View Manuscripts) available in the Open Journal Systems (OJS) system. The items below should be marked, and when the answer is NO or IN PART must **necessarily** contain the requests that will be forwarded **to the author**. The analysis should be guided by ONCONews editorial standards.

1. Title

Is it understandable, concise and portrays the content of the submission? Is it consistent with the objectives?

Yes No In part

Requests or information to the author:

2. Summary

Is the abstract presented in a structured format; describes the objective(s), method, main results and conclusions? It does not have acronyms not recognized internationally, nor does it quote from authors. Does it present the study site and data collection period?

Yes No In part

Requests or information to the author:

3. Descriptors

Do the descriptors express the content of the work? Are there 5 to 8 descriptors presented according to Decs? If necessary, suggest inclusions or descriptor removal.

Yes No In part

Requests or information to the author:

4. Introduction

Does it contextualizes the theme in a logical sequence? Are the objectives and assumptions well formulated? Does it explain the importance of the investigated problem that justifies the study? Does it use updated literature for the contextualization of the theme and the research problem?

Yes No In part

Requests or information to the author:

5. Method

Is it suitable to achieve the goals? Does it present adequate information on: the type of study, context, the selection and composition of the sample/research participants, inclusion and exclusion criteria, period and process of data collection (up to 4 years), the instruments, the technique used for data analysis (quantitative and/or qualitative)? Is there any reference regarding the request for informed consent and approval of the Ethics Committee?

Yes No In part Does not apply

Requests or information to the author:

6. Findings

The results are relevant and consistent with the objectives of the study and with the method. Is the presented data sufficient and allows the author to develop the proposed analysis?

Given the presentation of illustrations (tables, figures and tables), is the text complementary, not repeating the content contained in them?

Yes No In part

Requests or information to the author:

7. Discussion

The results are discussed with other authors, highlighting similarities and discrepancies in relation to the knowledge already published in the current international literature (last 5 years)? Do you mention possible generalizations, practical applications and limitations of the study? Do the author's interpretations show security and property, advancing knowledge? Does the discussion repeat the presentation of the results?

Yes No In part

Requests or information to the author:

8. Tables, figures and tables

Do they contain useful information and in logical sequence according to the body of the text, are self-explanatory and without duplication of data already described in the text? Are tables or figures mentioned in the text and follow the rules of the magazine? Are figures and tables presented shortly after the first mention?

Yes No In part Does not apply

Requests or information to the author:

9. Conclusion

Are the conclusions clear and based on the study's results? Do they demonstrate reflective synthesis of the author, not quoting references?

Yes No In part

Requests or information to the author:

10. Content and Writing

Does the text, as a whole, present a guiding thread in the ideas presented by the author? Do you use correct, clear, concise, accurate and coherent language? Are the spelling and semantics of the text correct? Does the article add knowledge to the study theme? Are there possible contributions of the study to health/society presented?

Yes No In part

Requests or information to the author:

11. References

Are the references presented updated (preferably from the last five years) and relevant to the topic? At least 70% come from articles published in scientific journals? Are references numbered consecutively in the order they appear in the text for the first time? Are there any complementary references that suggest to the authors?

Yes No In part

Requests or information to the author:

ONCO. NEWS appreciates your collaboration in this evaluation process